## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## COMMENTS ON THE PUBLICATION "COMPATIBILITY CONDITIONS OF SMALL DEFORMATIONS AND STRESS FUNCTIONS"

N. I. Ostrosablin

The paper "Compatibility Conditions of Small Deformations and Stress Functions" [Prikl. Mekh. Tekh. Fiz., 38, No. 5, 136-146 (1997)] contains inaccuracies which can lead to the conclusion that the satisfaction of six Saint-Venant compatibility conditions is not necessary for the existence of the displacement vector  $u_i$ . This is not the case. As is shown in the theory of elasticity, the Saint-Venant compatibility conditions  $\varepsilon_{imp}\varepsilon_{jnq}\partial_{mn}\varepsilon_{pq} = 0$  are necessary and sufficient for the existence of a solution  $u_i$  of the overdetermined system  $(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i)/2 = \varepsilon_{ij}$  for specified strains  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ . Equations of (26)-(30),  $B'\varepsilon = 0$ ,  $B'A^{-1}\sigma = 0$ , and  $B'A^{-1}B\varphi = 0$ are incorrect. The matrix B' in these equations should be replaced by the operator Q-matrix which enters the Saint-Venant conditions. As a result, we obtain the compatibility conditions  $Q\varepsilon = 0$ , the equations in stresses  $C\sigma = 0$  and  $QA^{-1}\sigma = 0$ , and the equations for the stress functions  $QA^{-1}B\varphi = 0$ . One should read  $\partial_{113}$  in place of  $\partial_{133}$  in the upper left-hand corner of the matrix B in solution 14 (see p. 143).

The author is grateful to Professor B. D. Annin who noticed the inaccuracies in the paper.

Lavrent'ev Institute of Hydrodynamics, Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 630090. Translated from Prikladnaya Mekhanika i Tekhnicheskaya Fizika, Vol. 40, No. 3, p. 216, May-June, 1999.